Infertility, as defined by the World Health Organization, is the failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse and it is an arising global issue, affecting 8% to 12% of reproductive-aged couples worldwide. Female infertility is responsible for one-third of the cases, the remaining two-thirds consisting of male or mixed infertility. Assessing the causes of female infertility is essential and there are two key points: the structure of the reproductive organs and the function of the hormonal hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis [1, 2].

There are multiple causes of female infertility including structural factors, such as pelvic adhesions after chronic infection and pelvic inflammatory disease resulting in tubal obstruction, endometriosis, uterine fibroids, endometrial polyps or uterine congenital abnormalities or the Asherman syndrome [3]. Hormonal imbalances may cause anovulatory cycles and genetic factors may lead to premature ovarian failure [4].

Although not cited as one of the most frequent causes of female infertility, ovarian tumors are certainly worth looking into, as they may affect the reproductive outcome in more than one way: by decreasing the follicle count from the tissue surrounding the tumoral ovarian mass and the destructive potential of abnormally proliferative tissue [5].

The challenge when it comes to the management of young patients with tumoral ovarian masses, is the fine balance between correctly diagnosing and treating the disease, lowering the chances of recurrence, and at the same time, preserving the conceiving potential. Low malignant potential ovarian tumors are masses exhibiting an atypical epithelial proliferation greater than the one observed in the benign counterpart, but without stromal invasion, the incidence being approximately 1.8-4.8 per 100,000 women per year [6]. Firstly, this histological entity was described in 1929 as having a less aggressive behavior than invasive epithelial ovarian tumors, and since 1971 the term borderline tumor was agreed upon and is now widely-used. It is worth mentioning that there are three terms which are used to define this entity to lessen the confusion: borderline tumors, tumors of low malignant potential, and atypical proliferative tumors. They account for 10% to 20% of all ovarian epithelial tumors and one-third of the cases are diagnosed in women of less than 40 years of age, making them an area of interest and a great challenge when it comes to infertility, fertility-sparing surgery, and medical management of the disease in women of childbearing age [7].

Case Report

The authors present the case of a 26-year-old woman diagnosed incidentally with a borderline ovarian tumor during an infertility check-up, who obtained a spontaneous pregnancy two months after laparoscopic unilateral adnexectomy, followed by IVF and embryo-banking.
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During the first fertility checkup, the patient was submitted to a medical interview, a clinical exam, and a transvaginal ultrasound. According to the anamnesis, the patient was a non-smoker, with no significant comorbidities or relevant family-associated diseases, regular periods, no history of past pelvic infections and normal results at the annual Pap smears. The patient did not complain of abdominal or pelvic pain and the bimanual palpation exam showed no tenderness at the moment of the exam. The transvaginal ultrasound revealed three intrauterine hyperechoic endometrial findings suggestive of polyps, the larger being 12 mm in size. The uterus was normal in size and the structure of myometrium was homogenous. In the rectouterine pouch of Douglas, no signs of pelvic inflammatory disease or free fluid were observed. Furthermore, the cervix was examined, and both the length and structure were normal. Upon examination of the adnexae and the ovaries, the right adnexa showed no abnormalities. The right ovary, which was 37×21×17 mm in size presented four antral follicles, without any signs of cystic masses or adhesions. In contrast, the left ovary was significantly larger in size, 80×55×21 mm with a cystic appearance (Figure 1), intracystic vegetation, and increased color flow Doppler signal (Figure 2). The patient was advised to undergo a series of blood analysis and a MRI scan. At the follow-up exam, the blood tests revealed elevated serum levels of CA 19-9 (79.76 U/ml reference value less than 27), ROMA score 33.36 % chance of malignancy (reference value less than 11 % for the age group), and an AMH level of 1.24 ng/ml. The first MRI scan describes the cystic lesion on the left ovary with mixed content both fluid and solid sized 55×58 mm to be suggestive for endometriod or haemorrhagic cyst, and no other pathological findings other than a small quantity of fluid in the Douglas pouch (normal uterus and cervix, normal right adnexa) and no sign of secondary lesions in the thorax, abdomen or ischiorectal fossae.

Taking into consideration all the aforementioned clinical and paraclinical data, the patient underwent a surgical procedure, the medical team opting for a less invasive laparoscopic approach. At the intraoperative inspection of the peritoneal cavity, the left ovary was visibly enlarged, with a large cystic mass having superficial cortex vessels visible on its surface, adherent to the left fallopian tube, while the left side of the uterus presented velamentous adhesions. No macroscopic lesions were found on the peritoneum or
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Liver. The mass was incised and a small tissue sample was sent to extemporaneous exam during surgery.

The preliminary pathology exam showed endometrioid borderline tumor with focal intraepithelial neoplasia. Left adnexectomy was performed with the extraction of the ovary and tube in an en-dobag, to prevent intraperitoneal malignant cell dissemination. Furthermore, peritoneal tissue biopsy and peritoneal washing cytology was performed to further exclude malignant cell contamination. Additionally, uterine dilation and curettage was performed and the tissue samples were sent for cell atypia screening, to exclude endometrial malignancy. There were no intraoperative complications or haemorrhage and the vital signs remained stable throughout entire intervention, with normal postoperative standard biochemical analysis.

The postoperative evolution of the patient was favorable under antithrombotic, antibiotic prophylaxis and antalgic treatment, subsequently being discharged from the hospital two days later. The final pathology report showed no abnormal cells with signs of atypical mitotic activity in the peritoneal washing sample, in the peritoneal tissue samples, and the endometrial tissue harvested during the uterine curettage.

The final histologic tissue pathology report of the excised surgical specimen was conclusive and in accordance with the extemporaneous report. The examined sections captured the following aspects: papillary proliferation composed of epithelial elements arranged in simple or branching tubular glands, with mild to moderate cytonuclear atypia, low mitotic rate, and squamous morules, embedded in a sparse fibromatous stroma. Microscopic foci of intraepithelial carcinoma are present (atypical hyperplasia with rare atypical mitotic figures), composed of irregular crowded glands disposed in a cribriform pattern, embedded in dense fibrous stroma, with dystrophic calcifications, but no inflammatory response. No vascular invasion, nor tumoral necrosis were identified in the examined area. The fragmented biopsy did not enable the assessment of the integrity of the capsule (Figures 3-6). The patient was further referred to an oncological committee who established that the tumor was a pT1aNxMxG1 and did not require any other medical or surgical interventions other than close follow up at six-month intervals after normal postoperative CT scan showed no remaining or secondary lesions and the ROMA score had dropped to 4.75% risk of malignancy.

Taking into consideration that the ovarian mass was an incidental finding during an infertility check-up and that the surgical
management of the case preserved the remaining right ovary and fallopian tube, the patient requested to undergo further tests to assess her chances of conceiving. Due to the removal of the left ovary, the serum level of the AMH decreased from 1.24 ng/ml prior to surgery to 0.74 ng/ml afterwards. During fertility investigations, a routine sperm analysis was also performed and the exam results were normozoospermia. In the light of the decreased ovarian reserve, the patient decided to undergo an IVF procedure. At the beginning of the following cycle, controlled ovarian stimulation was commenced, using a short antagonist protocol by administering 200 units of follicitropinum beta per day, for a period of nine days.

Oocyte maturation was triggered by the subcutaneously administered chorionic gonadotropin alpha in a dosage of 250 micrograms, 36 hours prior oocyte retrieval. Nine follicles were punctured through ovum pick up method and a total number of nine mature oocytes were retrieved. Subsequently, intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) was performed, resulting in four fertilized oocytes (fertilization rate 44.4%). The embryos were culture in time lapse system (embryoscope), until blastocyte stage (ExB1/1, HB1/2, ExB1/1, ExB2/1) and then vitrified with the purpose of performing a frozen-thawed single embryo transfer afterwards.

Next month, the patient complained of a menstrual delay, therefore a hCG analysis was recommended. The result of the first determination was 2,081 mUI/ml and the result of the second determination, performed 36 hours later, was 3,968 mUI/ml, thereby a spontaneous pregnancy was confirmed. Transvaginal ultrasound at seven weeks showed a regularly shaped intrauterine gestational sac with a crown rump length of 9 mm, normal yolk sack size, and shape and positive embryo cardiac activity of 144 beats per minute. At the moment the patient was ten weeks pregnant with no obstetrical complications.

Discussions

The aim of this article was to present the case of a 26-year-old woman diagnosed incidentally with a borderline ovarian tumor during an infertility check-up who obtained a spontaneous pregnancy two months after laparoscopic unilateral adnexectomy. The prognosis for patients with borderline ovarian tumors seems to be quite favourable, with survival rates at five years for early-stage disease of approximately 98% [8].

Regarding the causes and the risk factors associated with borderline ovarian tumors, although there is no certain and evident single cause established, they do seem to originate from the surface epithelium of the ovary [9] and nulliparous women are at greater risk of developing them compared to parous ones [10]. Based on histological findings, borderline ovarian tumors are classified as serous (50%), mucinous (45%), endometrioid, clear cell or Brenner tumors [11].

There has been great controversy regarding the safety of conservative versus radical surgery in these type of tumors diagnosed at an early stage. Recurrence rates seem to be slightly higher in patients undergoing fertility-sparing surgery than in those undergoing radical surgery [12, 13], however the survival rate seems unaltered since recurrent lesions seem to be also of borderline nature and completely curable through surgical resection. For first stage disease, conservative surgery consists of unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy or even cystectomy for young women willing to preserve their fertility, while bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy or even total hysterectomy with bilateral adnexectomy may be considered for women of menopausal age, who already conceive or do not intend to preserve their fertility.

The laparoscopic approach is safe, feasible, well-tolerated, and cost effective for both radical and conservative management. Notwithstanding the benefits of this type of interventions, studies [14, 15] that highlighted the negative impact on the ovarian reserved, as measured by serum anti-Müllian hormone levels (AMH) A study carried out by Dorofei et al. [16] on 5,069 Romanian women showed a mathematical relation between age and ovarian reserve, emphasizing once more on the usefulness of this biomarker in making clinical decisions in ART. In the present case, the patient’s AMH decreased significantly from 1.24 ng/ml prior to surgery to 0.74 ng/ml postoperative, thereby the authors considered that a longer waiting period between surgery and the start of an IVF cycle may have a negative impact on fertility due to deterioration of the ovarian reserve. Furthermore, studies conducted by Somigliana et al. [17] and Ho et al. [18] showed that a significantly lower number oocytes were retrieved from the operated ovaries compared to the contralateral ovary during IVF treatment.

Since infertility is frequently observed in patients with borderline ovarian tumors [17, 18] and conservative treatment is applied, the question that arises is if ovarian stimulation or IVF should be proposed to these patients? Some studies have linked ovarian hyper stimulation to a higher risk of onset of borderline ovarian tumors or even ovarian cancer, however the link remains unclear. Results so far suggest that infertility drugs and assisted reproduction techniques may be safely used in patients who experience infertility after conservative treatment of an early-stage gynaecological cancer [21, 22], however particular monitoring and a joint cooperation between oncologists and specialists in assisted reproduction is essential [23].

The authors may conclude that the advancements from the field of human assisted reproduction molecular biology and oncologic surgery brought new opportunities to women of childbearing age and fertility-preserving management should be highly considered for this category of patients.
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